Phosphonioalkynyl indenylruthenium(II) complexes $[Ru{C=CC(R)H(PR_3)}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)(PPh_3)_2][PF_6]$ (R = Ph, PR₃ = PMe₃; R = H, PR₃ = PPh₃): suitable precursors of highly unsaturated σ -alkynyl and vinylidene derivatives

Victorio Cadierno, M. Pilar Gamasa and José Gimeno*

Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica, Instituto Universitario de Química Organometálica 'Enrique Moles' (Unidad Asociada al C.S.I.C.), Universidad de Oviedo, 33071 Oviedo, Spain. E-mail: jgh@sauron.quimica.uniovi.es

Received 9th February 1999, Accepted 6th April 1999

Deprotonation of the phosphonioalkynyl ruthenium(II) complexes $[Ru{C=CC(R^1)H(PR_3)}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)(PPh_3),][PF_6]$ $(R^1 = Ph, PR_3 = PMe_3 1; R^1 = H, PR_3 = PPh_3 2)$ with LiBuⁿ in THF at -20 °C gave the ylide alkynyl derivatives $[Ru{C=C(R^1)=PR_3}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)(PPh_3)_2](R^1=Ph, PR_3=PMe_3 3; R^1=H, PR_3=PPh_3 4)$, which react *in situ* with aldehydes or ketones via a Wittig process to afford the neutral σ -enynyl complexes [Ru{C=CC(R¹)=CR²R³}(η⁵- C_9H_7)(PPh_3)₂] [R²R³ = CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂, R¹ = Ph **5a** or H **6a**; R² = H, R³ = Me, R¹ = Ph **5b** or H **6b**; R² = R³ = R¹ = Ph 5c]. Compounds 5b and 6b have been obtained as mixtures of the corresponding E and Z stereoisomers. Protonation of 5 and 6 with HBF₄·Et₂O in THF at -20 °C takes place selectively on the C_β of the enynyl chain to yield the cationic vinylvinylidene derivatives [Ru{=C=C(H)C(R¹)=CR²R³}(η^5 -C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] [R²R³ = CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂, $R^1 = Ph 7a$ or H 8a; $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = Me$, $R^1 = Ph 7b$ or H 8b; $R^2 = R^3 = R^1 = Ph 7c$]. The σ -polyenynyl complexes $[Ru{C=CC(R^{1})=CH(CH=CH)_{n}R^{2}}(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7})(PPh_{3})_{2}] (n = 1, R^{2} = Ph, R^{1} = Ph 9a \text{ or } H 10a; R^{2} = Pr^{n}, R^{1} = Ph 9b \text{ or }$ 10b; n = 2, $R^2 = Me$, $R^1 = Ph$ 11 or H 12) have been obtained in high yields, as mixtures of the E and Z stereoisomers, by reaction of 3 and 4 with unsaturated aldehydes. Protonation of these derivatives yielded the highly unsaturated vinylidene complexes [Ru{=C=C(H)C(R¹)=CH(CH=CH)_nR²}(η^{5} -C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] (n = 1, R² = Ph, R¹ = Ph 13a) or H 14a; $R^2 = Pr^n$, $R^1 = Ph$ 13b or H 14b; n = 2, $R^2 = Me$, $R^1 = Ph$ 15 or H 16). The σ -ynenynyl and σ -keteniminyl complexes $[Ru{C=CC(Ph)=CH(C=CPh)}(\eta^5-C_0H_7)(PPh_3)_2]$ and $[Ru{C=CC(Ph)=C=NPh}(\eta^5-C_0H_7)(PPh_3)_2]$ have also been prepared by reaction of 3 with PhC=CCHO and phenyl isocyanate, respectively. The ¹H, ³¹P-{¹H} and ¹³C-{¹H} NMR data for all the novel complexes are reported.

The chemistry of ruthenium(II) complexes containing σ -alkynyl ligands [Ru]-C=CR has received increased interest in recent years.1 Their versatile chemical and physical properties provide a variety of applications which have given rise to wide utility as materials in electronics, catalysis and synthesis. Thus, these complexes have been found to promote selective carbon-carbon coupling reactions² and catalytic transformations involving terminal alkynes.³ They have been also used as valuable synthons to generate bi- and poly-metallic species containing hydrocarbon bridges,⁴ metal containing polymers⁵ and metallacumulenes.⁶ The π system of the C=C bond provides the [Ru]-C≡C moiety with a suitable pathway for the electronic communication between the metal and functional groups attached at the end of the hydrocarbon chain. In particular, this type of ruthenium(II) complex incorporating appropriate electron acceptor groups exhibits non-linear optical properties.⁷ Analogous σ -alkynyl derivatives containing conjugated carbon-carbon double bonds, namely polyenynyl complexes [Ru]–C=CCR=CR(CR=CR)_nR, should show even more attractive properties since the introduction of these C=C bonds into the hydrocarbon chain provides not only a longer π system for charge delocalization (with a potential enhancement of the NLO properties) but also additional reaction centers. However, to date only ruthenium(II) σ-vinylalkynyl derivatives [Ru]-
$$\begin{split} C &\equiv CC(R^1) = CR^2R^3 \text{ are known: } [Ru] = [Ru(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)L(L')] \ (L = L' = PPh_3 \text{ or } PMe_3; \ L = CO, \ L' = PPr^i_3), {}^{6b,d,8a,b,9} [Ru(\eta^5 - C_5Me_5) - C_5Me_5] \end{split}$$
(dippe)] [dippe = 1,2-bis(diisopropylphosphino)ethane], 10c [Ru- $(\eta^5 - C_9 H_7)L_2$] [L₂ = 2PPh₃, 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (dppe) or bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm)],^{8c,d} trans- $[RuCl(P-P)_2]$ (P-P = dppm or dippe), 6c,e,8e,10a and [Ru(Tp)-(dippe)] [Tp = hydrotris(pyrazolyl)borate].^{10b} The most general

synthetic approach employs terminal alkynes as a source of the enynyl group which is formed: (*i*) by deprotonation of cumulene complexes either vinylvinylidene⁸ [Ru]⁺=C= C(H)C(R¹)=CR²R³ or methyl-substituted allenylidene [Ru]⁺= C=C=C(Me)R derivatives^{8d,10} which are both obtained from 1-alkyn-3-ols HC=C-CR¹(OH)C(H)R²R³, and (*ii*) by regioselective addition of nucleophiles on the C_γ atom of butatrienylidene complexes^{6b-e} [Ru]⁺=C=C=C=C(H)R which are synthesized from terminal 1,3-diynes HC=CC=CR. Classical halide exchange by enynyl anions in the complex [RuCl-(η⁵-C₅H₅)(PPh₃)₂] to yield σ-enynyl derivatives [Ru{C= CC(R¹)=CR²R³}(η⁵-C₅H₅)(PPh₃)₂] has also been reported.⁹

In the course of our investigations aimed at understanding the reactivity patterns of cationic indenylruthenium(II) allenylidene complexes $[Ru(=C=C=CR^1R^2)(\eta^5-C_9H_7)L_2]^{+, 8c, d, 11}$ we have recently shown that these derivatives add phosphines regioselectively at the C_y of the allenylidene chain to afford a large variety of phosphonioalkynyl derivatives [Ru{C= $CC(R^1)R^2(PR_3)$ {(η^5 -C₉H₇)L₂]⁺.^{8c,11a} Since we are especially interested in exploiting the synthetic utility of these derivatives we wondered about the possibility of using the monosubstituted derivatives $[Ru{C=CC(R^1)H(PR_3)}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)(PPh_3)_2]$ - $[PF_6]$ (R¹ = Ph, PR₃ = PMe₃ 1; R¹ = H, PR₃ = PPh₃ 2) as suitable substrates for Wittig type processes.¹² Thus, we report here: (i)the synthesis of σ -envnyl and the first examples of σ -polyenynyl ruthenium(II) complexes $[Ru{C=CC(R^1)=CR^2R^3}(\eta^5-C_9H_7) (PPh_3)_2$ and $[Ru\{C=CC(R^1)=CH(CH=CH)_nR^2\}(\eta^5-C_9H_7) (PPh_3)_2$ (*n* = 1 or 2), respectively obtained *via* Wittig reactions starting from the phosphonioalkynyl derivatives 1 and 2 by treatment with LiBuⁿ, to form probably a phosphorus ylide species $[Ru{C=CC(R^1)=PR_3}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)(PPh_3)_2]$, followed by

DALTON



Scheme 1 Synthesis of σ -enynyl and vinylvinylidene ruthenium(II) complexes.

the addition of an aldehyde or ketone, and (*ii*) the regioselective synthesis of vinylvinylidene and polyenylvinylidene complexes [Ru{=C=C(H)C(R¹)=CR²R³}(η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] and [Ru{=C=C(H)C(R¹)=CH(CH=CH)_nR²}(η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂]-[BF₄] (*n* = 1 or 2), respectively, formed by protonation of the corresponding σ -enynyl and σ -polyenynyl derivatives. Using this methodology highly functionalized σ -alkynyl derivatives can be also obtained as is shown in the synthesis of the σ -ynenynyl [Ru{C=CC(Ph)=CH(C=CPh)}(η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂] and σ -keteniminyl [Ru{C=CC(Ph)=C=NPh}(η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂] complexes from PhC=CCHO and phenyl isocyanate, respectively. Part of this work has been preliminarily communicated.¹³

Results and discussion

Synthesis of σ -enynyl and vinylvinylidene ruthenium(II) complexes

As expected, the phosphonioalkynyl complexes 1 and 2 containing an acidic hydrogen atom at C_{γ} are excellent substrates for Wittig reactions leading to the formation of new double carbon-carbon bonds. Thus, the treatment of yellow THF solutions of 1 or 2 with 1 equivalent of LiBuⁿ at -20 °C gave rise to an immediate change to dark green (1) or violet (2) solutions probably containing the highly unstable ylide alkynyl derivatives $[Ru{C=CC(R^1)=PR_3}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)(PPh_3)_2]$ (R¹ = Ph, PR₃ = PMe_3 3; $R^1 = H$, $PR_3 = PPh_3$ 4). These species were not isolated but instead, when treated in situ with an excess (ca. 3:1) of cyclohexanone, acetaldehyde or benzophenone, afford the neutral σ -enynyl complexes [Ru{C=CC(R¹)=CR²R³}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)- $(PPh_3)_2$] [R²R³ = CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂, R¹ = Ph **5a** or H **6a**; R² = H, $R^{3} = Me, R^{1} = Ph 5b \text{ or } H 6b; R^{2} = R^{3} = R^{1} = Ph 5c]$ (Scheme 1). They have been isolated after column chromatography as air stable yellow-orange solids (64-86% yield). Complexes 5b and 6b were obtained as non-separable mixtures of the corresponding E and Z stereoisomers in ca. 3:1 and 10:11 ratios, respectively.

The novel σ -enynyl complexes have been characterized by microanalysis, mass spectra (FAB), and IR and NMR (¹H, ³¹P-{¹H}, and ¹³C-{¹H}) spectroscopy (details are given in the Experimental section and Tables 1 and 2). The formation of the enynyl chain is confirmed by the appearance in the IR spectra (KBr) of a ν (C=C) absorption in the range 2041–2062 cm⁻¹. The NMR data can be compared with those reported for similar indenylruthenium(II) σ -alkynyl complexes [Ru(C=CR)-

 $(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7})(PPh_{3})_{2}]^{4a,8c,d,11,13,14}$ Thus, the room-temperature ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra exhibit a single resonance (δ 50.78–52.77) consistent with the chemical equivalence of both phosphorus atoms (Table 1). The ¹H NMR spectra show signals for aromatic, indenyl and olefinic substituent groups, in accordance with the proposed structures (Table 1). It is worth mentioning that the assignment of the resonances of 5b was carried out on the basis of NOE experiments. Thus, irradiation of the methyl resonance at δ 1.60 [d, J(HH) = 7.2 Hz] gave an increase of the intensity of the aromatic and olefinic protons at δ 7.53 [d, J(HH) = 6.3 Hz] and 5.81 (m), respectively. From this, we can conclude that these resonances correspond to the Z stereoisomer. In a further NOE experiment it was shown that saturation of the methyl resonance at δ 2.00 [d, J(HH) = 6.8 Hz] increased only the intensity of the olefinic proton resonance at δ 5.81 (m), as expected for an *E* configuration. The rest of the proton resonances were assigned by taking into account their relative integration values. Both olefinic protons for 6b appear as doublets of quatriplets in the range δ 5.57–7.47, showing a mutual coupling constant of J(HH) = 15.1 (E stereoisomer) or 11.6 Hz (Z stereoisomer).

The ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectra display characteristic triplet resonances at δ 105.37–123.56 [²J(CP) = 21.9–25.9 Hz] for the RuC= carbon nucleus, while the C_{β} resonance appears as a singlet in the range δ 111.12–120.05 (Table 2). Singlet signals of the olefinic carbons have been assigned using DEPT experiments, being partially overlapped by the aromatic carbon resonances. Indenyl carbon resonances (Table 2) have been also assigned, and they are in accordance with the proposed η^5 co-ordination.¹⁵ As has been shown previously, the parameter $\Delta \delta(C^{3a,7a}) = \delta[C^{3a,7a}(\text{indenyl} \text{ complex})] - \delta[C^{3a,7a}]$ (indenylsodium)] can be used as an indication of the indenyl distortion.¹⁶ The calculated values for the σ-enynyl complexes 5 and 6, which are in the range ca. -19 to -23 ppm, can be compared to those reported for other indenylruthenium(II) σ -alkynyl complexes 4a, 8c, d, 11, 13, 14 and are indicative of a nondistorted η^5 -indenyl co-ordination.

Protonation of neutral σ -alkynyl ruthenium(II) complexes is a well known route to the corresponding cationic vinylidene derivatives.^{6a} In the case of σ -enynyl complexes this protonation can generate both vinylvinylidene (**A**, electrophilic addition on the C_{β} position)^{8a,c,9} or allenylidene (**B**, electrophilic addition on the C_{δ} position)^{9,10} derivatives (see Chart 1).

		'Η							
		η^{5} -C ₉ H ₇							
Complex	${}^{31}P-\{{}^{1}H\}$	H ^{1,3}	H ²	J(HH)	H ^{4,7} , H ^{5,6}	Others			
5a	52.77 (s)	4.37 (d)	5.17 (t)	2.5	6.17 (m), 6.44 (m)	1.04, 1.28, 1.50, 2.09, 2.76 (m, CH ₂); 6.53–7.19 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph)			
(E) -5b	52.47 (s)	4.45 (d)	5.31 (t)	2.2	6.09 (m), 6.39 (m)	2.00 [d, J(HH) = 6.8, CH ₃]; 5.81 (m, =CH); 6.57–7.14 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph); 7.33 (d, J(HH) = 7.0, Ph)			
(Z) -5b	52.47 (s)	4.39 (d)	5.23 (t)	2.2	6.09 (m), 6.39 (m)	1.60 [d, J(HH) = 7.2, CH ₃]; 5.81 (m, =CH); 6.57–7.14 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph); 7.53 [d, J(HH) = 6.3, Ph]			
5c	50.78 (s)	4.74 (d)	5.23 (t)	2.3	5.98 (m), 6.73 (m)	6.85–8.24 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph)			
6a	52.01 (s)	4.71 (d)	5.60 (t)	2.2	6.36 (m), 6.70 (m)	1.57 (m, 2CH ₂); 1.65, 2.29, 2.81 (m, CH ₂); 5.94 (s, =CH); 6.92–7.52 (m, PPh ₄)			
(E) -6b	52.01 (s)	4.72 (d)	5.66 (t)	2.2	6.32 (m), 6.68 (m)	2.19 [dd, J(HH) = 6.6, 1.3, CH ₃]; 5.86 [dq, J(HH) = 15.1, 6.6, =CH]; 6.88–7.47 (m, PPh ₃ and =CH)			
(Z)-6b	52.07 (s)	4.71 (d)	5.62 (t)	2.2	6.32 (m), 6.68 (m)	1.81 [dd, J(HH) = 6.5, 1.3, CH ₃]; 5.57 [dq, J(HH) = 11.6, 6.5, =CH]; 6.21 [dq, J(HH) = 11.6, 1.3, =CH]; 6.88–7.47 (m, PPh ₃)			
(E) -9a	51.75 (s)	4.72 (d)	5.52 (t)	1.9	6.34 (m), ^b	6.64–8.16 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 3=CH)			
(Z)-9a	52.36 (s)	4.78 (d)	5.53 (t)	1.9	6.48 (m), ^{<i>b</i>}	6.64–8.16 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 3=CH)			
(E) -9b	52.51 (s)	4.77 (d)	5.56 (t)	2.0	6.45 (m), ^{<i>b</i>}	0.91 [t, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.3, CH ₃]; 1.41, 2.08 (m, CH ₂); 5.84 (m, =CH); 6.67–7.45 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 2=CH); 7.89 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.1, Ph]			
(Z) -9b	52.16 (s)	4.70 (d)	5.53 (t)	2.0	6.35 (m), ^b	0.82 [t, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.3, CH ₃]; 1.31, 1.98 (m, CH ₂); 5.77 (m, =CH); 6.67–7.45 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 2=CH); 7.72 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.1, Ph]			
(E)-10a	51.68 (s)	4.73 (d)	5.69 (t)	2.3	^b , ^b	6.23–7.47 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 4=CH)			
(Z)-10a	51.34 (s)	4.73 (d)	5.58 (t)	2.5	<i>b</i> , <i>b</i>	6.23–7.47 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 3=CH); 8.00 [dd, <i>J</i> (HH) = 15.7, 9.7, =CH]			
(E)-10b	51.79 (s)	4.72 (br)	5.67 (br)		6.23 (m), ^c 6.69 (m)	0.87 [t, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.3, CH ₃]; 1.40, 2.05 (m, CH ₂); 5.63 (m, =CH); 6.57 [dd, <i>J</i> (HH) = 14.8, 10.9, =CH]; 6.94–7.46 (m, PPh ₃)			
(Z)-10b	52.13 (s)	4.72 (br)	5.58 (br)		6.23 (m), ^c 6.69 (m)	0.90 [t, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.3, CH ₃]; 1.40, 2.12 (m, CH ₂); 5.73 (m, =CH); 7.26 [dd, <i>J</i> (HH) = 15.3, 10.3, =CH]; 6.94–7.46 (m, PPh ₃)			
(<i>E</i>)-11	52.07 (s)	4.70 (d)	$5.50 (m)^d$	2.3	6.34 (m), 6.72 (m)	1.59 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 6.6, CH ₃]; 5.96 [dd, <i>J</i> (HH) = 14.8, 10.9, =CH]; 6.80–7.54 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 3=CH); 7.70 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.9, Ph]			
(Z)-11	52.54 (s)	4.77 (d)	5.55 (t)	2.1	6.45 (m), 6.72 (m)	1.65 [d, $J(HH) = 6.6$, CH_3]; 5.60 [dq, $J(HH) = 14.2$, 6.8, $=CH$]; 6.15 [dd, $J(HH) = 14.2$, 10.9, $=CH$]; 6.80–7.54 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 3=CH); 7.89 [d, $J(HH) = 7.1$, Ph]			
(<i>E</i>)-12	52.03 (s)	4.72 (d)	$5.56 (\mathrm{m})^d$	2.6	<i>b</i> , <i>b</i>	$1.64 [d, J(HH) = 6.9, CH_3]; 6.13-7.45 (m, PPh_3 and 5=CH)$			
(Z)-12	51.69 (s)	4.71 (d)	5.66 (t)	2.6	<i>b</i> , <i>b</i> ,	$1.66 [d, J(HH) = 7.0, CH_3]; 5.56 (m, =CH); 6.13-7.45 (m, PPh_3 and 5=CH)$			
(E) -17	52.51 (s)	4.68 (d)	5.41 (t)	2.0	6.38 (m), 6.71 (m)	6.34 (s, =CH); 6.84–7.45 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph); 8.42 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.6, Ph]			
(Z) -17	51.22 (s)	4.90 (d)	6.26 (m) ^e	1.3	6.26 (m), ^e 6.63 (m)	6.43 (s, =CH); 6.84–7.45 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph); 7.58 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 6.5, Ph]; 7.73 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.6, Ph]			
19	52.92 (s)	4.40 (d)	5.12 (t)	1.6	6.20 (m), 6.44 (m)	6.58–7.57 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph)			

^{*a*} Spectra recorded in C_6D_6 ; δ in ppm and *J* in Hz. Abbreviations: s, singlet; br, broad; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; t, triplet; dq, doublet of quadruplets; m, multiplet. ^{*b*} Overlapped by PPh₃ or Ph protons. ^{*c*} H^{4,7} or H^{5,6} and 2=CH. ^{*d*} H² and =CH. ^{*e*} H² and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}.



Chart 1 Possible protonation processes of neutral σ -enynyl ruthenium(II) complexes.

We have, therefore, examined the protonation of the σ -enynyl complexes **5** and **6**. Thus, the addition of HBF₄·Et₂O to solutions of **5** and **6**, in THF at -20 °C, regioselectively affords the cationic vinylvinylidene derivatives [Ru{=C=C(H)C(R¹)=CR²-R³}(n⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] [R²R³ = CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂, R¹ = Ph **7a** or H **8a**; R² = H, R³ = Me, R¹ = Ph **7b** or H **8b**; R² = R³ = R¹ = Ph **7c**], isolated as air stable brown solids (64–87% yield) (Scheme 1). No isomeric allenylidene species were detected by

NMR spectroscopy. Complexes **7b** and **8** have been obtained as mixtures of the corresponding *E* and *Z* stereoisomers (*ca.* 3:1 and 10:11 ratios, respectively) in accordance with the isomeric mixtures of the precursor derivatives **5b** and **6b**.

Analytical and spectroscopic data are in agreement with the proposed formulations (see Experimental section and Tables 3 and 4). In particular, the presence of the vinylidene moiety was identified, as usual, on the basis of: (*i*) (¹H NMR) the singlet (**7a–7c**), doublet (**8a**) or doublet of triplets (**8b**) signal of the Ru=C=CH proton (δ 5.08–6.37), and (*ii*) (¹³C-{¹H} NMR) the typical low-field resonance of the carbenic Ru=C_a, which appears as a triplet at δ 339.12–354.92 [²J(CP) = 16.1–16.9 Hz], as well as the expected C_β singlet resonance (δ 111.16–122.39). Indenyl carbon resonances (Table 4) indicate a moderate distortion of the η^5 -indenyl co-ordination, ¹⁶ in accordance with the data previously reported for similar indenylruthenium(II) vinylidene complexes.^{4a,8c,d,11,13,14}

Synthesis of σ-polyenynyl and polyenylvinylidene ruthenium(II) complexes

The easy access to the σ -vinylalkynyl complexes 5 and 6 prompted us to study the reactions of the phosphonioalkynyl derivatives 1 and 2 with aldehydes containing conjugated

Table 2 ¹³ C	2-{1H} NM	R data for th	ne neutral σ-	-alkynyl con	nplexes"
-------------------------	-----------	---------------	---------------	--------------	----------

	$\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{9}H_{7}$								
Complex	C ^{1,3}	C ²	C ^{3a,7a}	$\Delta \delta(\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{3a,7a}})^{b}$	C ^{4,7} , C ^{5,6}	$Ru-C_{\alpha}$	$^{2}J(CP)$	C_{β}	Others
5a	73.16 (s)	93.88 (s)	108.23 (s)	-22.47	121.99 (s) 124.16 (s)	110.08 (t)	24.6	113.44 (s)	26.11, 27.68, 27.71, 30.07, 32.36 (s, CH ₂); 121.06 (s, =C); 123.06– 142.47 (m, PPb, Pb, and =C)
(E)- 5b	73.20 (s)	94.37 (s)	108.42 (s)	-22.28	122.05 (s) 124.69 (s)	114.73 (t)	25.9	111.12 (s)	142.47 (m, PPn ₃ , Pn and =C) 15.77 (s, CH ₃); 122.73 (s, =CH); 124.75-142.36 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and -C)
(Z) -5b	73.38 (s)	93.97 (s)	107.95 (s)	-22.75	121.78 (s) 124.50 (s)	105.37 (t)	24.9	115.11 (s)	=C) 14.21 (s, CH ₃); 122.32 (s, =CH); 124.75–140.74 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and -C)
5c	79.04 (s)	98.04 (s)	110.93 (s)	-19.77	124.51 (s)	123.56 (t)	21.9	120.05 (s)	-C) 126.36–146.63 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 2–C)
6a	75.44 (t) ^c	96.19 (s)	110.14 (s)	-20.56	120.30 (s) 123.89 (s) 126.47 (s)	109.34 (t)	24.9	113.01 (s)	$^{2-C}$ 28.11, 29.31, 29.91, 32.35, 36.64 (s, CH ₂); 109.55 (s, =CH); 127.89 143.78 (m, PPh, and =C)
(E)- 6b	74.86 (s)	95.58 (s)	109.42 (s)	-21.28	123.13 (s) 125.85 (s)	106.83 (t)	25.1	113.38 (s)	$127.05-145.76$ (m, 11 n_3 and $-C$) 16.01 (s, CH ₃); 117.97 (s, =CH); 126.81–139.21 (m, PPh ₃ and $-CH$)
(Z)-6b	74.86 (s)	95.58 (s)	109.49 (s)	-21.21	123.32 (s) 125.90 (s)	114.66 (t)	24.7	112.11 (s)	18.39 (s, CH ₃); 116.81 (s, =CH); 126.81–139.21 (m, PPh ₃ and
(E) -9a	75.45 (s)	95.54 (s)	109.93 (s)	-20.77	123.66 (s)	122.56 (t)	24.2	120.23 (s)	=CH) 126.57–143.34 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph, =C
(Z)-9a	75.07 (s)	96.00 (s)	110.35 (s)	-20.35	123.92 (s)	126.27 (t)	23.5	114.94 (s)	and 3=CH) 126.57–143.34 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph, =C
(E)- 9b	74.94 (s)	95.48 (s)	109.48 (s)	-21.22	123.21 (s) 126.02 (s)	115.17 (t)	24.6	118.42 (s)	and $3=C11$) 13.97 (s, CH ₃); 23.37, 35.55 (s, CH ₂); 132.40 (s, =CH); 126.47– 143.36 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph, =C and
(Z) -9b	74.69 (s)	95.68 (s)	109.84 (s)	-20.86	123.49 (s) 126.21 (s)	120.18 (t)	24.1	113.61 (s)	2=CH) 14.16 (s, CH ₃); 23.59, 35.75 (s, CH ₂); 132.59 (s, =CH); 126.47– 143.36 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph, =C and
(E)- 10a	75.10 (s)	95.61 (s)	109.65 (s)	-21.05	123.16 (s)	125.35 (t)	24.0	120.41 (s)	2=CH) 116.73, 131.65, 131.97, 133.56 (s, =CH); 126.10–139.05 (m, PPb and Pb)
(Z)-10a	74.85 (s)	95.61 (s)	109.69 (s)	-21.01	123.31 (s)	123.30 (t)	25.1	117.84 (s)	111, and 11) 114.88, 129.31, 129.47 (s, =CH); 126.10–139.05 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =CH)
(E)-10b	74.88 (s)	95.58 (s)	109.53 (s)	-21.17	123.13 (s) d	116.55 (t)	24.6	115.22 (s)	14.00 (s, CH ₃); 23.30, 35.42 (s, CH ₂); 117.41, 129.99, 132.89, 133.39 (s, =CH); 125.97–139.05 (m PPh.)
(Z)-10b	74.88 (s)	95.45 (s)	109.53 (s)	-21.17	123.27 (s) d	119.42 (t)	24.7	113.72 (s)	(m, 11 m ₃) 14.13 (s, CH ₃); 23.50, 35.53 (s, CH ₂); 114.99, 130.93, 131.32, 131.86 (s, =CH); 125.97–139.05 (m, PPh.)
(<i>E</i>)-11	75.03 (s)	95.42 (s)	109.50 (s)	-21.20	123.22 (s) 126.09 (s)	d	d	119.61 (s)	(m, PPh_3) 18.50 (s, CH ₃); 126.66–143.14 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph ₄ =C and 5=CH)
(Z) -11	74.74 (s)	95.58 (s)	109.87 (s)	-20.83	123.51 (s) 126.30 (s)	123.96 (t)	23.7	114.36 (s)	$(m, PPh_3, Ph_3, C, m, C)$ 18.63 (s, CH ₃); 126.66–143.14 (m PPh_3 Ph =C and 5=CH)
(E)- 12	75.04 (s)	95.56 (s)	109.57 (s)	-21.13	123.14 (s)	123.89 (t)	25.1	116.44 (s)	(11, 171, 13, 11, -2, 011, 12, -2, 011) 18.53 (s, CH ₃); 119.05, 128.36, 130.46, 131.90, 133.17 (s, =CH); 126.08–138.97 (m, PPh ₃ and CH)
(Z)-12	74.99 (s)	95.46 (s)	109.57 (s)	-21.13	123.28 (s) d	121.02 (t)	25.1	114.59 (s)	=CH) 18.63 (s, CH ₃); 116.60, 126.93, 129.33, 131.08, 133.32 (s, =CH); 126.08–138.97 (m, PPh ₃
(E) -17	75.83 (s)	95.65 (s)	110.19 (s)	-20.51	123.97 (s) 126.92 (s)	d	d	119.30 (s)	and =CH) 93.09, 96.38 (s, =C); 106.75 (s, =CH); 128.22–142.46 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =C)
(Z)- 17	75.83 (s)	98.41 (s)	110.96 (s)	-19.74	126.20 (s) 127.60 (s)	d	d	116.48 (s)	$94.27, 94.80 (s, \equiv C); 106.95 (s, =CH); 128.22-142.46 (m, PPh_3, Ph and =C)$
19	73.22 (s)	94.71 (s)	108.94 (s)	-21.76	d d	d	d	е	117.64-137.91 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph): 153.15 (s $-C-N$)
" Spectra r	ecorded in C	$C_6 D_6; \delta$ in pp	m and <i>J</i> in H	z. ${}^{b}\Delta\delta(C^{3a,7a}) =$	$=\delta[C^{3a,7a}(\eta-in)]$	denyl comple	x)] – δ [C ^{3a}	^{,7a} (indenyl so	dium)], $\delta(C^{3a,7a})$ for indenyl sodium

130.70. ${}^{c}{}^{2}J(CP) = 3.6. {}^{d}$ Overlapped by PPh₃ or Ph carbons. ${}^{e}\delta$ 111.47 and 113.06 (s, C_β and =C).

carbon-carbon double bonds in order to prepare the first examples of σ -polyenynyl ruthenium(II) complexes. Thus, compounds 1 and 2 react with LiBuⁿ and unsaturated alde-

hydes, in THF at -20 °C, to afford the σ -polyenynyl derivatives [Ru{C=CC(R¹)=CH(CH=CH)_nR²}(\eta^5-C_9H_7)(PPh_3)_2] [n = 1, R² = Ph, R¹ = Ph **9a** or H **10a**; R² = Prⁿ, R¹ = Ph **9b** or H **10b**;

Table 3	The ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ a	und ¹ H and NMR	data for the c	cationic vin	vlidene complexes ^a
---------	--------------------------	----------------------------	----------------	--------------	--------------------------------

		ΊΗ							
		η^{5} -C ₉ H ₇							
Complex	${}^{31}P-\{{}^{1}H\}$	H ^{1,3}	H ²	J(HH)	H ^{4,7} , H ^{5,6}	Ru=C=CH	Others		
7a	35.13 (s)	5.25 (d)	5.36 (m) ^b	2.5	$5.36 (m)^{b}$ 7.10 (m)	6.26 (s)	0.86, 1.43, 1.59, 1.95, 2.06 (m, CH ₂); 6.54–7.51 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph)		
(E) -7b	34.70 (s)	5.32 (m) ^c	5.32 (m) ^c		$5.32 (m)^{c}$	5.17 (s)	1.22 [d, J (HH) = 7.0, CH ₃]; 4.83 [q, J (HH) = 7.0, =CH]; 6.46– 7.49 (m, PPh ₂ and Ph)		
(Z)-7b	34.80 (s)	5.32 (m) ^e	5.32 (m) ^e		$5.32 (m)^{e}$	5.08 (s)	1.20 [d, $J(HH) = 7.1$, CH_3]; 6.46–7.49 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph)		
7c	34.86 (s)	5.40 (d)	5.05 (t)	2.1	5.28 (m)	6.37 (s)	6.53–7.47 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph)		
8a	39.72 (s)	5.45 (d)	5.92 (m) ^b	2.5	5.92 (m)^{b} 7.23 (m)	f	1.50, 1.96 (m, 2CH ₂); 1.80 (m, CH ₂); 6.83–7.52 (m, PPh ₃)		
(E) -8b	39.92 (s)	5.79 (d)	5.97 (t)	2.6	6.19 (m)	5.47 (dt) ^g	1.65 [dd, <i>J</i> (HH) = 6.6, 1.3, CH ₃]; 5.23 [dq, <i>J</i> (HH) = 14.8, 6.6, =CH]; 5.66 (m, =CH); 6.96–7.58 (m, PPh ₃)		
(Z)- 8b	40.08 (s)	5.78 (d)	6.04 (t)	2.6	6.19 (m)	$5.60 (dt)^{h}$	1.55 [dd, $J(HH) = 7.1$, 1.6, CH_3]; 4.82 [dq, $J(HH) = 10.0$, 7.1 = CH]; 5.75 (m = CH); 6.96–7.58 (m PPh.)		
(E) -13a	35.27 (s)	5.39 (m) ^{<i>i</i>}	5.39 (m) ^{<i>i</i>}		5.45 (m)	6.41 (s)	5.68 [d, $J(HH) = 7.1$, =CH]; 6.49–7.55 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 2=CH)		
(Z)-13a	34.68 (s)	$5.37 (m)^j$	5.14 (t)	1.7	$5.37 (m)^{j}$	6.47 (s)	5.94 [d, J (HH) = 10.8, =CH]; 6.49–7.55 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph 2=CH)		
(E) -13b	34.70 (s)	$5.35 (m)^j$	5.29 (br)		$5.35 (m)^j$	6.44 (s)	0.95 [t, $J(HH) = 7.3$, CH_3]; 1.45, 2.17 (m, CH_2); 5.77 (m, 2=CH); 6.66–7.49 (m, PPh, Ph and =CH)		
(Z) -13b	34.87 (s)	$5.42 (m)^{j}$	5.06 (br)		$5.42 (m)^j$	6.25 (s)	0.84 [t, J(HH) = 7.3, CH ₃]; 1.35, 2.02 (m, CH ₂); 5.77 (m, 2–CH); 6.66–7.49 (m, PPb, Pb and –CH)		
(E) -14a	39.77 (s)	5.51 (br)	5.75 (m) ^k		6.06 (m)	5.31 (dt) ¹	6.33 [d, J(HH) = 15.5, =CH]; 6.50 [dd, J(HH) = 15.5, 10.4]; 6.83-750 (m PPh, and Ph)		
(Z)-14a	39.55 (s)	5.50 (br)	5.75 (m) ^{<i>m</i>}		6.01 (m)	5.75 (m) ^{<i>m</i>}	6.41 [d, J (HH) = 15.4, =CH]; 6.66 [dd, J (HH) = 15.4, 10.8]; 6.83-7.50 (m PPh and Ph)		
(E)- 14b	40.09 (s)	5.49 (br)	5.72 (m) ⁿ		$5.72 (m)^n$	5.18 (dt)°	0.91 [t, J(HH) = 7.3, CH ₃]; 1.41, 2.12 (m, CH ₂); 6.83–7.52 (m, PH)		
(Z) -14b	39.86 (s)	5.51 (br)	5.72 (m) ^{<i>p</i>}		$5.72 (m)^p$	5.72 (m) ^{<i>p</i>}	$(m, 11 m_3)$ 0.95 [t, J(HH) = 7.4, CH ₃]; 1.43, 2.12 (m, CH ₂); 6.83–7.52 (m, PBb)		
(<i>E</i>)-15	34.68 (s)	5.37 (br)	5.08 (br)		5.43 (m)	6.01 (m) ^{<i>q</i>}	(III, FFI_3) 1.78 [d, $J(HH) = 6.5, CH_3$]; 6.54–7.48 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph)		
(Z) -15	34.81 (s)	5.37 (br)	5.27 (br)		5.43 (m)	6.46 (s)	1.88 [d, $J(HH) = 6.8$, CH ₃]; 5.51 [d, $J(HH) = 11.0$, =CH];		
(<i>E</i>)-16	39.76 (s)	5.68 (m) ^r	5.68 (m) ^r		$5.68 (m)^r$	5.68 (m) ^r	$1.84 [d, J(HH) = 7.2, CH_3]; 6.82-7.49 (m, PPh_3 and =CH)$		
(Z) -16	39.71 (s)	5.68 (m) ^r	5.68 (m) ^r		$5.68 (m)^r$	5.68 (m) ^r	1.82 [d, <i>J</i> (HH) = 7.6, CH ₃]; 6.82–7.49 (m, PPh ₃ and =CH)		
(E) -18	34.37 (s)	$5.40 (m)^j$	5.14 (t)	1.7	$5.40 \ (m)^{j}$	5.29 (s)	6.39–7.57 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =CH)		
(Z) -18	33.00 (s)	5.40 (m) ^{<i>j</i>}	5.90 (t)	2.0	$5.40 (m)^j$	4.80 (s)	6.39–7.57 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =CH)		

^{*a*} Spectra recorded in CDCl₃; δ in ppm and *J* in Hz. ^{*b*} H² and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}. ^{*c*} H^{1,3}, H² and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}. ^{*d*} Overlapped by PPh₃ or Ph protons. ^{*c*} H^{1,3}, H², =CH and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}. ^{*f*} δ 5.19 and 5.27 [d, *J*(HH) = 9.9 Hz, Ru=C=CH and =CH]. ^{*s*} *J*(HH) = 9.5, ^{*s*} *J*(HP) = 2.0 Hz. ^{*h*} *J*(HH) = 10.0, ^{*4*} *J*(HP) = 1.7 Hz. ^{*i*} H^{1,3} and H². ^{*j*} H^{1,3} and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}. ^{*k*} H² and 2=CH. ^{*i*} *J*(HH) = 10.3, ^{*4*} *J*(HP) = 1.3 Hz. ^{*m*} H², 2=CH and Ru=C=CH. ^{*n*} H², 4=CH and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}. ^{*a*} *J*(HH) = 9.9, ^{*4*} *J*(HP) = 1.8 Hz. ^{*p*} H², 4=CH, Ru=C=CH and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}. ^{*q*} 5=CH and Ru=C=CH. ^{*i*} H^{1,3}, H², 5=CH, Ru=C=CH and H^{4,7} or H^{5,6}.

n = 2, $R^2 = Me$, $R^1 = Ph$ 11 or H 12] (Scheme 2), isolated after column chromatography as air stable yellow-orange solids (62-75% yield). Similarly to the σ -enynyl complexes **5b** and **6b**, compounds 9-12 were obtained as non-separable mixtures of the E and Z stereoisomers in ca. 10:15 9a, 16:10 9b, 11:10 10a, 10:12 10b, 1:7 11 and 1:2 12 ratios. All attempts to form these compounds stereoselectively by changing the reaction conditions or the base used [NaOMe or KN(SiMe₃)₂ instead of LiBuⁿ] were unsuccessful. The spectroscopic properties (see Tables 1 and 2 and the Experimental section) of all these complexes are consistent with the proposed formulations. Significant features are: (i) the v(C=C) IR absorption band (2041- 2059 cm^{-1}), (*ii*) the olefinic proton resonances, in the ¹H NMR spectra, which appear at ca. δ 5.5–7.5 (see Table 1), (iii) the typical triplet resonance in the ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectra for the RuC = carbon nucleus at δ 115.17–126.27 [²J(CP) = 23.5–25.1 Hz], and (*iv*) singlet signals of C_{β} and the olefinic carbons in the range ca. δ 110–140 (assigned using DEPT experiments). It is worth noting that for complexes 10a and 10b and 12 containing an hydrogen atom on the C_{γ} position the resonance of this carbon shifts to high field (δ 114.88–119.05) compared with those of the rest of the olefinic carbons of the polyenynyl chain (*ca.* δ >126.00) (see Table 2). In order to confirm the stereochemical assignation, ¹³C NMR experiments were carried out. Thus, we have found that for complexes **9a**, **9b** and **11** the C_β resonance appears as a doublet due to the coupling with the proton located at the C_δ atom, while for **10a**, **10b** and **12** a doublet of broad singlets was observed, showing an effective coupling with the protons located at the C_δ and C_γ positions. The coupling constants observed clearly indicate an *E* [³*J*(CH) = 3.1–6.3] or *Z* [³*J*(CH) = 10.0–11.9 Hz] configuration.

The corresponding vinylidene derivatives containing polyenyl chains have been synthesized through the regioselective protonation in THF of the σ -polyenynyl complexes **9–12** with tetrafluoroboric acid at -20 °C. The treatment leads to the formation of the desired tetrafluoroborate complexes **13–16** isolated as air stable brown solids (67–86% yield) (Scheme 2). The *E*:*Z* stereoisomer ratio for these derivatives is the same as that found in the parent σ -polyenynyl compounds **9–12**. The ¹H and ¹³C-{¹H} NMR data are consistent with the presence of

Table 4 ${}^{13}C-{}^{1}H$ NMR data for	r the cationic vinylidene complexes ^a
---	--

Complex	$\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{9}H_{7}$								
	C ^{1,3}	C^2	C ^{3a,7a}	$\Delta\delta(C^{3a,7a})$	C ^{4,7} , C ^{5,6}	Ru=C _a	$^{2}J(CP)$	C_{β}	Others
7a	80.39 (s)	99.61 (s)	117.43 (s)	-13.27	129.93 (s)	354.92 (t)	16.6	116.76 (s)	26.36, 28.17, 28.53, 31.01, 32.12, (s, CH ₂); 117.58 (s, =C); 128.00– 141.75 (m PPh Ph and =C)
(E) -7b	81.15 (s)	99.23 (s)	117.35 (s)	-13.35	123.15 (s)	350.73 (t)	16.8	120.71 (s)	141.75 (m, PPn ₃ , Pn and $=$ C) 15.24 (s, CH ₃); 113.72 (s, =CH); 127.27–135.35 (m, PPh ₃ and Ph): 143.09 (s, =C)
(Z) -7b	80.62 (s)	99.61 (s)	117.35 (s)	-13.35	123.15 (s)	353.06 (t)	16.8	с	14.13 (s, CH ₃); 127.27–135.35 (m PPh ₂ and Ph): 139.03 (s =C)
7c	80.53 (s)	100.19 (s)	117.94 (s)	-12.76	123.28 (s)	353.73 (t)	16.4	120.17 (s)	126.17-142.51 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and 2=C)
8a	83.00 (t)	98.69 (s)	115.37 (s)	-15.33	122.92 (s) 129.96 (s)	339.12 (t)	16.9	112.47 (s)	26.40, 27.82, 28.76, 29.29, 37.04 (s, CH ₂); 101.31 (s, =CH); 128.41–133.83 (m, PPh ₃ and =C)
(E)- 8b	83.56 (s)	98.78 (s)	115.17 (s)	-15.53	122.90 (s)	342.37 (t)	16.1	117.24 (s)	17.86 (s, CH ₃); 112.29, 123.45 (s, =CH): 127.83–133.46 (m, PPh ₂)
(Z) -8b	83.56 (s)	98.78 (s)	115.17 (s)	-15.53	122.90 (s)	342.37 (t)	16.1	d	13.41 (s, CH ₃); 123.04 (s, =CH); 127.83–133.46 (m, PPh ₃)
(E) -13a	81.25 (s)	99.61 (s)	117.58 (s)	-13.12	123.34 (s) 126.34 (s)		17.4	122.09 (s)	124.51, 125.04, 127.46 (s, =CH); 128.33–142.43 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =C)
(Z)-13a	81.84 (s)	99.30 (s)	117.58 (s)	-13.12	123.40 (s) 126.25 (s)	349.56 (t)	16.9	114.03 (s)	125.07, 125.98, 127.97 (s, =CH); 128.33–142.43 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =C)
(E)- 13b	81.49 (s)	99.14 (s)	117.42 (s)	-13.28	<i>b</i> <i>b</i>	350.15 (t)	16.8	114.08 (s)	13.71 (s, CH ₃); 22.41, 35.15 (s, CH ₂); 125.26, 126.27, 137.24 (s, =CH); 123.22–142.71 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =C)
(Z) -13b	80.89 (s)	99.53 (s)	117.42 (s)	-13.28	b b	355.91 (t)	16.9	121.94 (s)	13.58 (s, CH ₃); 22.54, 34.76 (s, CH ₂); 124.76, 126.34, 137.04 (s, =CH); 123.22–142.71 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =C)
(E) -14a	84.28 (s)	98.95 (s)	115.22 (s)	-15.48	123.01 (s) 126.25 (s)	360.10 (t)	17.0	114.90 (s)	111.71, 123.20, 126.79 (s, =CH); 128.21–136.99 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =CH)
(Z)-14a	83.90 (s)	98.95 (s)	115.46 (s)	-15.24	126.50 (s) 127.37 (s)	358.39 (t)	16.4	118.16 (s)	113.33, 125.75, 127.77 (s, =CH); 128.21–136.99 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and =CH)
(E) -14b	83.92 (s)	98.77 (s)	113.16 (s)	-15.45	122.96 (s) 130.26 (s)	360.22 (t)	16.5	113.16 (s)	13.66 (s, CH ₃); 22.59, 34.56 (s, CH ₂); 108.48, 124.93, 126.22, 135.01 (s, =CH); 128.56–133.43 (m, PPh ₃)
(Z)-14b	84.23 (s)	98.77 (s)	115.04 (s)	-15.66	122.96 (s) 130.26 (s)	358.58 (t)	16.4		13.74 (s, CH ₃); 22.59, 34.95 (s, CH ₂); 111.48, 127.14, 129.56, 136.73 (s, =CH); 128.56–133.43 (m, PPh ₃)
(E) -15	81.12 (s)	99.56 (s)	117.58 (s)	-13.12	123.35 (s)	356.89 (t)	17.4	114.06 (s)	18.32 (s, CH ₃); 122.23, 124.75, 134.65 (s, =CH); 126.51–142.62 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph, =C and 2=CH)
(Z) -15	81.66 (s)	99.11 (s)	117.58 (s)	-13.12	123.35 (s)	350.51 (t)	16.6	114.19 (s)	18.53 (s, CH ₃); 126.44, 126.58, 134.98 (s, =CH); 126.51–142.62 (m, PPh ₂ , Ph ₂ =C and 2=CH)
(E) -16	84.20 (s)	98.82 (s)	115.21 (s)	-15.49	122.95 (s) 130.29 (s)	359.22 (t)	16.7	е	(m, PPh, and 2=CH)
(Z) -16	84.05 (s)	98.79 (s)	115.14 (s)	-15.56	122.95 (s) 130.29 (s)	361.11 (t)	16.7	118.22 (s)	(iii, 171i), and 2–01) 18.36 (s, CH ₃); 109.94, 124.56, 130.12, 131.51, 134.20 (s, =CH); 128.53–133.41 (m, PPh ₃ and =CH)
(E) -18	81.77 (s)	99.36 (s)	117.60 (s)	-13.10	b b	351.02 (t)	16.7	120.32 (s)	88.54, 92.19 (s, \equiv C); 102.14 (s, $=$ CH); 122.86–141.26 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and $=$ C)
(Z)-18	81.34 (s)	99.36 (s)	117.75 (s)	-12.95	b b	348.78 (t)	16.9	116.77 (s)	88.35, 97.64 (s, \equiv C); 102.14 (s, $=$ CH); 122.86–141.26 (m, PPh ₃ , Ph and $=$ C)

^{*a*} Spectra recorded in CDCl₃; δ in ppm and J in Hz. ^{*b*} Overlapped by PPh₃ or Ph carbons. ^{*c*} δ 121.62 and 122.39 (s, C_{β} and =CH). ^{*d*} δ 111.16 and 112.17 (s, C_{β} and =CH). ^{*e*} δ 113.02 and 113.38 (s, C_{β} and =CH).

polyenylvinylidene moieties (see Tables 3 and 4). Thus, the ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectra show in all cases a low-field triplet signal for the carbenic C_{α} atom at δ 349.56–361.11 [²J(CP) = 16.4–17.4 Hz], while the C_{β} atom appears as a singlet in the range δ 113.02–122.09. Similarly to their σ -polyenynyl precursors, the olefinic carbon [Ru]=C=C(H)CH= in complexes

14 and **16** resonates at higher field (δ 108.48–113.38) compared to the rest of olefinic carbons of the polyenylvinylidene skeleton ($\delta > 120$). As expected, the calculated $\Delta\delta(C^{3a,7a})$ values (Table 4) for **13–16** are similar to those found for the analogous vinylvinylidene complexes **7** and **8**, and higher than that of the σ -polyenynyl derivatives **9–12** (Table 2).



Scheme 2 Synthesis of σ -polyenynyl and polyenylvinylidene ruthenium(II) complexes.



Scheme 3 Wittig reactions of phosphonioalkynyl complex 1 with PhC=CCHO and phenyl isocyanate.

Synthesis of $\sigma\mbox{-ynenynyl}$ and $\sigma\mbox{-keteniminyl}$ ruthenium(II) complexes

In order to demonstrate the generality of this synthetic methodology we have explored the reactivity of the phosphonioalkynyl complex 1 towards other carbonyl compounds such as PhC=CCHO or phenyl isocyanate. Thus, under analogous conditions, 1 reacts with LiBun and PhC=CCHO to give the σ -ynenynyl derivative [Ru{C=CC(Ph)=CH(C=CPh)}- $(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7})(PPh_{3})_{2}$] 17 (72% yield) (Scheme 3), which was obtained as a mixture of the E and Z stereoisomers in ca. 8:1ratio. Protonation of 17 with HBF₄·Et₂O takes place also on the C_{β} of the alkynyl group, leading to the selective formation of the cationic ynenylvinylidene complex [Ru{=C=C(H)-C(Ph)=CH(C=CPh) ($\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7}$)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] **18** (83% yield; $E: Z \ ca. 8:1$). Analytical and spectroscopic data (IR and ¹H, $^{31}P-\{^{1}H\},$ and $^{13}C-\{^{1}H\}$ NMR) are in accordance with the proposed formulations (see the Experimental section and Tables).

Similarly, the reaction of complex **1** with LiBuⁿ and phenyl isocyanate yields [Ru{C=CC(Ph)=C=NPh}(η^{5} -C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂] **19**, a rare example of a functionalized σ -alkynyl derivative containing a keteniminyl moiety (57% yield; Scheme 3). The IR and ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectroscopic data show the expected signals for the alkynylheterocumulene moiety, *i.e.* v(C=C=N) 1991, v(C=C) 2070 cm⁻¹; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (=C=N) 153.15.

Conclusion

The present study reports a general synthetic route for preparing highly unsaturated σ -alkynyl ruthenium(II) complexes based on the reaction of phosphonioalkynyl derivatives [Ru{C=CC(R¹)H(PR₃)}(η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] **1** and **2** with carbonyl compounds *via* a Wittig process. Thus, a wide series of σ -enynyl **5** and **6** and the first examples of σ -polyenynyl **9–12** complexes have been prepared in excellent yields. It should be noted that recent studies carried out in our laboratory indicate that similar σ -alkynyl derivatives containing strong electronacceptor groups at the end of the hydrocarbon chain exhibit large second-order non-linear optical (NLO) properties.^{146,17} These results clearly indicate that the polyenynyl skeleton is an excellent pathway for electronic delocalization between the metal and terminal functional groups.

Furthermore, it is also shown that the protonation of these σ -enynyl and σ -polyenynyl ruthenium(II) derivatives proceeds regioselectively at the C_β atom of the alkynyl group. It is apparent that the electrophilic attack at this position is favored over electrophilic addition on the olefinic moieties which would lead to cumulenylidene derivatives. These processes disclose a ready entry to the high yield synthesis of alkenylvinylidene complexes 7 and 8 and polyenylvinylidene derivatives 13–16, a type of organometallic species of potential interest for synthesis, provided there is high unsaturation in the hydrocarbon chain. We

have recently reported the utility of some of these derivatives as excellent synthons of organometallic cyclopentenones obtained *via* intermolecular Pauson–Khand reactions.^{14c}

Experimental

The manipulations were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen using vacuum-line and standard Schlenk techniques. All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Solvents were dried by standard methods and distilled under nitrogen before use. The compounds $[Ru \{C \equiv CC(R^1)H(PR_3)\}(\eta^5 - C_9H_7)(PPh_3)_2][PF_6]$ $(R^1 = Ph, PR_3 = PMe_3;^{11\alpha}R^1 = H, PR_3 = PPh_3^{17})$ were prepared by following the literature methods. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1720-XFT spectrometer. The conductivities were measured at room temperature, in ca. 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³ acetone solutions, with a Jenway PCM3 conductimeter. The C, H and N analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 240-B microanalyzer. Mass spectra (FAB) were recorded using a VG Autospec spectrometer, operating in the positive ion mode; 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol was used as the matrix. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC300 instrument at 300 (¹H), 121.5 (³¹P) or 75.4 MHz (¹³C) using SiMe₄ or 85% H₃PO₄ as standards. DEPT Experiments have been carried out for all the complexes.

Synthesis

[Ru{C=CC(R¹)=CR²R³}(η^{5} -C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂] [R²R³ = CH₂-(CH₂)₃CH₂, R¹ = Ph 5a or H 6a; R² = H, R³ = Me, R¹ = Ph (*E,Z*)-5b or H (*E,Z*)-6b; R² = R³ = R¹ = Ph 5c]. General procedure. A solution of LiBuⁿ (1.6 M in hexane, 0.625 cm³, 1 mmol) was added, at -20 °C to a solution of [Ru{C=CC-(R¹)H(PR₃)}(η^{5} -C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] 1 or 2 (1 mmol) in THF (25 cm³). After the addition was complete the original yellow solution changed to dark green (1) or violet (2). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 15 min, the corresponding aldehyde or ketone (3 mmol) added, warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min. The solvent was then removed *in vacuo* and the solid residue transferred to an Alox I chromatography column. Elution with hexane–diethyl ether (1:1) gave complexes 5 and 6 as yellow-orange solids.

Complex **5a**: yield 65% (Found: C, 76.25; H, 5.43. $C_{60}H_{42}$ -P₂Ru requires C, 76.98; H, 5.59%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2058m (C=C); m/z 936 (M^+), 741 [$M^+ - C \equiv CC(Ph) = C(CH_2)_s$] and 673 ($M^+ - PPh_3$). Complex **5b**: yield 64% (Found: C, 74.01; H, 5.31. $C_{56}H_{46}P_2Ru$ requires C, 74.89; H, 5.25%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2054m (C=C, *E* isomer), 2025w (C=C, *Z* isomer); m/z 882 (M^+), 741 [$M^+ - C \equiv CC(Ph) = CHMe$], 620 ($M^+ - PPh_3$) and 358 ($M^+ - 2PPh_3$). Complex **5c**: yield 72% (Found: C, 78.58; H, 5.17. $C_{67}H_{52}P_2Ru$ requires C, 78.88; H, 5.13%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2041m (C=C); m/z 1020 (M^+), 741 [$M^+ - C \equiv CC(Ph) = CPh_2$] and 495 ($M^+ - 2PPh_3$). Complex **6a**: yield 86% (Found: C, 75.82; H, 4.44. $C_{54}H_{38}P_2Ru$ requires C, 76.31; H, 4.51%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2056m (C=C). Complex **6b**: yield 77% (Found: C, 74.21; H, 5.17. $C_{50}H_{42}P_2Ru$ requires C, 74.52; H, 5.25%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2062s (C=C, *E* and *Z* isomers).

[Ru{=C=C(H)C(R¹)=CR²R³](η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] [R²R³ = CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂, R¹ = Ph 7a or H 8a; R² = H, R³ = Me, R¹ = Ph (*E*,*Z*)-7b or H (*E*,*Z*)-8b; R² = R³ = R¹ = Ph 7c]. General procedure. A solution of HBF₄·Et₂O (1.9 cm³, 1.5 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 cm³) was added dropwise, at -20 °C, to a solution of the corresponding σ-enynyl complex 5 or 6 (1 mmol) in THF (30 cm³). The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to room temperature and then concentrated (*ca.* 5 cm³). Addition of diethyl ether (*ca.* 100 cm³) gave complexes 7 and 8 as brown solids which were washed with diethyl ether (3 × 20 cm³) and vacuum dried.

Complex 7a: yield 64% (Found: C, 69.31; H, 4.89. C₆₀H₅₃-

BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 70.38; H, 5.21%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 104 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1060s (BF₄⁻). Complex **7b**: yield 87% (Found: C, 68.97; H, 4.79. C₅₆H₄₇BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 69.35; H, 4.88%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 110 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1065s (BF₄⁻, *E* and *Z* isomers). Complex **7c**: yield 79% (Found: C, 72.94; H, 5.01. C₆₇H₅₃BF₄-P₂Ru requires C, 72.63; H, 4.82%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 115 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1060s (BF₄⁻). Complex **8a**: yield 70% (Found: C, 67.66; H, 5.12. C₅₄H₄₉BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 68.43; H, 5.21%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 120 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1090s (BF₄⁻). Complex **8b**: yield 77% (Found: C, 66.89; H, 4.57. C₅₀H₄₃BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 67.19; H, 4.85%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 106 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1058s (BF₄⁻, *E* and *Z* isomers).

 $[Ru{C=CC(R^{1})=CH(CH=CH)_{n}R^{2}}(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7})(PPh_{3})_{2}] [n = 1,$ $R^2 = Ph, R^1 = Ph (E,Z)-9a$ or $H (E,Z)-10a; R^2 = Pr^n, R^1 = Ph$ (E,Z)-9b or H (E,Z)-10b; n = 2, $\mathbb{R}^2 = Me$, $\mathbb{R}^1 = Ph (E,Z)$ -11 or H (E,Z)-12]. These complexes were synthesized analogously to 5 and 6. Complex 9a: yield 64% (Found: C, 77.62; H, 5.04. $C_{63}H_{50}P_2Ru$ requires C, 78.00; H, 5.19%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2041s (C=C, *E* and *Z* isomers). Complex **9b**: yield 75% (Found: C, 76.77; H, 5.87. C₆₀H₅₂P₂Ru requires C, 76.98; H, 5.60%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2046m (C=C, E and Z isomers); m/z 936 (M^+), 741 $[M^+ - C \equiv CC(Ph) = CHCH = CHPr^n]$ and 674 $(M^+ - PPh_3)$. Complex 10a: yield 62% (Found: C, 76.17; H, 5.15. C₅₇H₄₆P₂Ru requires C, 76.57; H, 5.18%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2056m (C=C, E isomer) and 2059s (C=C, Z isomer). Complex 10b: yield 69% (Found: C, 74.95; H, 5.57. C₅₄H₄₈P₂Ru requires C, 75.41; H, 5.64%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2050m (C=C, *E* and *Z* isomers). Complex 11: yield 63% (Found: C, 77.23; H, 5.22. C₆₀H₅₀P₂Ru requires C, 77.15; H, 5.39%); v_{max}/cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2041s (C≡C, E and Z isomers). Complex 12: yield 69% (Found: C, 75.52; H, 5.38. $C_{54}H_{46}P_2Ru$ requires C, 75.59; H, 5.40%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 2044s (C=C, E and Z isomers).

 $[Ru = C = C(H)C(R^{1}) = CH(CH = CH)_{\mu}R^{2} \{(\eta^{5} - C_{0}H_{7})(PPh_{3})_{2}\}$ $[BF_4][n = 1, R^2 = Ph, R^1 = Ph(E,Z)-13a \text{ or } H(E,Z)-14a; R^2 =$ Pr^{n} , $R^{1} = Ph(E,Z)$ -13b or H(E,Z)-14b; n = 2, $R^{2} = Me$, $R^{1} =$ Ph (E,Z)-15 or H (E,Z)-16]. These complexes were synthesized analogously to 7 and 8 with 9-12 as starting materials. Complex 13a: yield 79% (Found: C, 71.07; H, 4.83. C₆₃H₅₁BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 71.53; H, 4.86%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 118 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1061s (BF₄⁻, *E* and *Z* isomers). Complex 13b: yield 79% (Found: C, 69.97; H, 5.18. C₆₀H₅₃BF₄-P₂Ru requires C, 70.38; H, 5.22%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 103 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; ν_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1058s (BF₄⁻, *E* and Z isomers). Complex 14a: yield 86% (Found: C, 69.25; H, 4.70. C₅₇H₄₇BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 69.73; H, 4.82%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 104 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1057s (BF₄⁻, E and Z isomers). Complex 14b: yield 74% (Found: C, 67.83; H, 5.02. C₅₄H₄₉BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 68.43; H, 5.21%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 119 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 1059s (BF₄⁻, E and Z isomers). Complex 15: yield 67% (Found: C, 70.81; H, 4.91. C₆₀H₅₁BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 70.52; H, 5.03%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 99 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max} / cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1060s (BF₄⁻, *E* and *Z* isomers). Complex 16: yield 81% (Found: C, 67.91; H, 4.96. C₅₄H₄₇BF₄P₂Ru requires C, 68.57; H, 5.01%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 115 Ω^{-1} cm² mol^{-1} ; v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 1057s (BF₄⁻, *E* and *Z* isomers).

[Ru{C=CC(Ph)=CH(C=CPh)}(η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂] (*E*,*Z*)-17. This complex was obtained as an orange solid analogously to **5** and **6** from PhC=CCHO (0.367 cm³, 3 mmol). Yield 72% (Found: C, 79.17; H, 5.15. C₆₃H₄₈P₂Ru requires C, 78.16; H, 4.99%). v_{max} /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2043s (RuC=C, *E* and *Z* isomers) and 2178w (C=CPh, *E* and *Z* isomers).

 $[Ru{=C=C(H)C(Ph)=CH(C=CPh)}(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7})(PPh_{3})_{2}][BF_{4}]$ (*E*,*Z*)-18. This complex was obtained as a brown solid analo-

gously to **7** and **8** with **17** as starting material. Yield 83% (Found: C, 70.34; H, 4.65. $C_{63}H_{49}BF_4P_2Ru$ requires C, 71.66; H, 4.68%); conductivity (acetone, 20 °C) 110 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. v_{max}/cm^{-1} (KBr) 1059s (BF₄⁻, *E* and *Z* isomers) and 2181w (C=C, *E* and *Z* isomers).

[Ru{C=CC(Ph)=C=NPh}(η⁵-C₉H₇)(PPh₃)₂] 19. A solution of LiBuⁿ (1.6 M in hexane, 0.625 cm³, 1 mmol) was added, at -20 °C, to a solution of [Ru{C=CC(Ph)H(PMe₃)}(η⁵-C₉H₇)-(PPh₃)₂][PF₆] 1 (1.076 g, 1 mmol) in THF (25 cm³). After the addition was complete the original yellow solution changed to violet. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 15 min, phenyl isocyanate (0.108 cm³, 1 mmol) added, warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min. The solvent was then removed *in vacuo* and the solid residue extracted with hexane and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent gave 19 as a yellow solid. Yield 57% (Found: C, 76.12; H, 4.81; N, 1.60. C₆₁H₄₇NP₂Ru requires C, 76.55; H, 4.95; N, 1.46%). ν_{max}/cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1991m (C=C=N) and 2070m (C=C).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Técnica (Project PB96-0558) and the EU (Human Capital Mobility programme, Project ERBCHRXCT 940501). We thank the Fundación para la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Asturias (FICYT) for a fellowship to V. C.

References

- A. H. Hill, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, eds. E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone and G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, Oxford, 1995, vol, 7, pp. 411–417.
- 2 For leading references see: Y. Wakatsuki and H. Yamazaki, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 500, 349; G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, E. Bordignon, F. Cazzaro, S. Ianelli and G. Pelizzi, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 4114; C. Bianchini, P. Innocenti, M. Peruzzini, A. Romerosa and F. Zanobini, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 272; S.-M. Yang, M. C.-W. Chan, K.-K. Cheung, C.-M. Che and S.-M. Peng, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 2819; C. W. Chang, Y. C. Lin, G. H. Lee, S. L. Huang and Y. Wang, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 2534; M. I. Bruce, P. J. Low, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, New J. Chem., 1998, 419; M. I. Bruce, B. C. Hall, N. N. Zaitseva, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 1793; C. S. Slugovc, K. Mauthner, M. Kacetl, K. Mereiter, R. Schmid and K. Kirchner, Chem. Eur. J., 1998, 4, 2043.
- 3 For recent references see: C. S. Yi and N. Liu, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 3968; C. Slugovc, K. Mereiter, E. Zobetz, R. Schmid and K. Kirchner, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 5275; C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, Chem. Commun., 1997, 507; C. Gemel, G. Kickelbick, R. Schmid and K. Kirchner, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 2113; C. S. Yi, N. Liu, A. L. Rheingold and L. M. Liable-Sands, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 3910; C. S. Yi and N. Liu, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3158.
- 4 For recent references see: (a) M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, I. Godefroy, E. Lastra, B. M. Martín-Vaca, S. García-Granda and A. Gutierrez-Rodríguez, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 1901; (b) S. Guesmi, D. Touchard and P. H. Dixneuf, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2773; (c) M. C. B. Colbert, J. Lewis, N. J. Long, P. R. Raithby, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 99; (d)

L. Dahlenburg, A. Weiß and M. Moll, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 535, 195; (e) I. de los Ríos, M. Jimenez Tenorio, M. C. Puerta and P. Valerga, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3356; (f) M. Uno and P. H. Dixneuf, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1714; (g) J. Lewis, P. R. Raithby and W.-Y. Wong, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 556, 219; (h) M. Younus, N. J. Long, P. R. Raithby and J. Lewis, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 570, 55; (i) N. J. Long, A. J. Martin, F. Fabrizi de Biani and P. Zanello, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 2017.

- 5 C. W. Faulkner, S. L. Ingham, M. S. Khan, J. Lewis, N. J. Long and P. R. Raithby, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1994, **482**, 139; O. Lavastre, M. Even, P. H. Dixneuf, A. Pacreau and J. P. Vairon, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 1530; O. Lavastre, J. Plass, P. Bachmann, S. Guesmi, C. Moinet and P. H. Dixneuf, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 184.
- 6 (a) M. I. Bruce, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 197; 1998, 98, 2797;
 (b) J. R. Lomprey and J. P. Selegue, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 616;
 (c) D. Touchard and P. H. Dixneuf, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1998, 178–180, 409;
 (d) M. I. Bruce, P. Hinterding, P. J. Low, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 467;
 (e) R. F. Winter and F. M. Hornung, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 4248.
- 7 I. R. Whittall, A. M. McDonagh, M. G. Humphrey and M. Samoc, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 1997, **42**, 291; T. Verbiest, S. Houbrechts, M. Kauranen, K. Clays and A. Persoons, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 1997, **7**, 2175.
- 8 (a) J. P. Selegue, B. A. Young and S. L. Logan, Organometallics, 1991, 10, 1972; (b) M. A. Esteruelas, A. V. Gómez, F. J. Lahoz, A. M. López, E. Oñate and L. A. Oro, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 3423; (c) V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, J. Borge and S. García-Granda, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 3178; (d) V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, M. González-Cueva, E. Lastra, J. Borge, S. García-Granda and E. Pérez-Carreño, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 2137; (e) P. Haquette, D. Touchard, L. Toupet and P. Dixneuf, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 565, 63.
 9 M. I. Bruce, P. Hinterding, E. R. T. Tiekink, B. W. Skelton and
- 9 M. I. Bruce, P. Hinterding, E. R. T. Tiekink, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1993, **450**, 209.
- 10 (a) D. Touchard, N. Pirio and P. H. Dixneuf, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 4920; (b) M. A. Jiménez Tenorio, M. Jiménez Tenorio, M. C. Puerta and P. Valerga, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 5528; (c) I. de los Rios, M. Jiménez Tenorio, M. C. Puerta and P. Valerga, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 549, 221.
- (a) V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, M. C. López-González, J. Borge and S. García-Granda, *Organometallics*, 1997, 16, 4453;
 (b) P. Crochet, B. Demerseman, M. I. Vallejo, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, J. Borge and S. García-Granda, *Organometallics*, 1997, 16, 5406.
- 12 See also: S. G. Davies, J. P. McNally and A. J. Smallridge, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1990, 30, 1; S. Abbott, Ph.D. Thesis, Oxford University, Oxford, 1984.
- 13 V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, J. Borge and S. García-Granda, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2495.
- 14 (a) M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, B. M. Martín-Vaca, J. Borge, S. García-Granda and E. Pérez-Carreño, *Organometallics*, 1994, 13, 4045; (b) S. Houbrechts, K. Clays, A. Persoons, V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa and J. Gimeno, *Organometallics*, 1996, 15, 5266; (c) V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, J. M. Moretó, S. Ricart, A. Roig and E. Molins, *Organometallics*, 1998, 17, 697.
- 15 Z. Zhou, C. Jablonski and J. Bridson, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 461, 215; A. Ceccon, C. J. Elsevier, J. M. Ernsting, A. Gambaro, S. Santi and A. Venzo, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1993, 204, 15.
- 16 F. G. Kohler, *Chem. Ber.*, 1974, **107**, 570; R. T. Baker and T. H. Tulip, *Organometallics*, 1986, **5**, 839.
- 17 V. Cadierno, S. Conejero, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, S. Houbrechts, K. Clays, I. Asselberghs, A. Persoons, J. Borge and S. García-Granda, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 582.

Paper 9/01107B